



NJIT Self Study: Middle States Commission on Higher Education Meeting Documentation

Part 1. Attendance

Committee Number:	Group 4: Educational Outcomes: The Measurement of Learning Ability
MSCHE Standard Addressed:	Standard 7: Institutional Assessment Standard 14: Assessment of Student Learning
Meeting Date:	November 23, 2009
Meeting Time:	11:30-12:45pm
Meeting Location:	Leir Conference Room
Group Chair:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chair: John Bechtold
Group Co-Chair:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vice-Chair: Katia Passerini
Committee Advisors:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Institutional Research Advisor: Eugene Deess
Committee Members Present:	Ala Saadeghvaziri, John Bechtold, Phyllis Bolling, Nick Carlson, John Cays, Eugene P. Deess, James Lipuma, Thomas Moore, Sharon Morgan, Mary Kate Naatus, Katia Passerini, Naomi Rotter,
Committee Members Excused:	Carol Johnson, Marvin Nakayama, Oleksandr Rudniy,
Committee Guests:	n/a
Meeting Purpose:	To review Steering Committee Charge Questions

Part 2: Agenda

Agenda Items	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Welcome and Introduction 2. Overview of MSA process and self-study 3. Validation of questions addressing MS standards on Assessment 4. Organize work to be done spring, 2010 5. Review of Moodle Web site
---------------------	--

Part 3: Discussion of Agenda

Discussion on Agenda Items	
1	Introductions
2	The general structure and timeline for MSA process was introduced by John Bechtold and Perry Deess (see presentation - Working_Group4_v2.ppt).
3	Questions were reviewed and issues were raised about the scope of Standard 7 review
4	Workplan for Spring not discussed
5	Access / Use of moodle as central repository (moodle.njit.edu, uid + password, click on Middle States course in the list of courses)

Part 4: Action Items from Agenda

Action on Agenda		Individual Responsible	Due Date
	Scope of Standard 7 must be reviewed. Additional information sources on learning assessment must be identified.	Bechtold and Passerini	Asap – via email
	Review each question and suggest changes / modification / additions	Each member of the group	12/15 via email

Part 5: Remaining Questions

Questions to be Answered		Individual Best Able to Provide Answers
1	The UCRC – GUR recent revisions, on what data / assessment were they based on?	UCRC committee?